

# ECONOMY, POLITICS, POLICY

## POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HISTORICAL RECONCILIATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA

Georgi Stankov<sup>1</sup>, Center of Public Policy, Sofia

### *Abstract*

*The paper discusses historical reconciliation in the Republic of North Macedonia as a necessary and unavoidable condition for stopping ethnic confrontation, persecution and rights violations of citizens with Bulgarian ethnic identity, and for creating a new model of relations in the country. The author proposes several specific measures aimed at achieving reconciliation, especially rehabilitation of the Macedonian Bulgarians, sentenced for their pro-Bulgarian activities, and constitution of Truth and Reconciliation Commission in the Republic of North Macedonia.*

**Key words:** *human rights; ethnocide; trauma; right to truth; reconciliation; restorative justice; Truth and Reconciliation Commission.*

*“... Leave there thy offering before the altar,  
and go first to be reconciled to thy brother,  
and then coming thou shall offer thy gift.”  
New Testament, Matthew 5:24<sup>2</sup>*

### INTRODUCTION

During its transition from totalitarian regime to democracy, the Republic of North Macedonia faces the challenge of resolving unresolved issues from its recent past, such as human rights violations based on ethnicity. Since it was expressed in the European Parliament's resolution of 25 March 2021 on the 2019-2020 Commission Reports on North Macedonia,<sup>3</sup> the need to overcome the difficult legacy of inter-ethnic relations in the country has acquired an important European dimension as part of the process of preparation for negotiations for the country's membership in the European Union. In paragraph 77 of the Resolution, the European Parliament “strongly encourages the authorities and civil society to take appropriate measures for historical reconciliation in order to overcome the divide between and within different ethnic and national groups, including citizens of Bulgarian identity” (European parliament, 2021). The present article argues that through achieving reconciliation, the society of the Republic of North Macedonia would overcome the unresolved and painful traumas of the communist Yugoslav past, build sincere and sustainable good neighbourly relations between the RNM and the RB, and contribute to increasing regional stability in the Western Balkans.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Georgi Stankov is a psychologist and psychodrama therapist, PhD student in the Department of Public Administration at Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski".

<sup>2</sup> Taken from The Holy Bible, edition from 1964, published by “Burns and Oates.”

<sup>3</sup> Hereafter, the document will be referred to briefly as the “Resolution.”

<sup>4</sup> Here and below in the text the abbreviation RNM is used to stand for the Republic of Northern Macedonia, and RB is used to stand for the Republic of Bulgaria.

## WHAT IS RECONCILIATION?

Bar-Siman-Tov defines reconciliation as a process of “restoring friendship and harmony between the rival sides after conflict resolution, or transforming relations of hostility and resentment to friendly and harmonious ones” (Bar-Siman-Tov, 2004, p. 4). It is the result of restorative and transitional practices, most often applied after the end of a conflict or totalitarian regime, and consists of “mutual recognition and acceptance, invested interests and goals in developing peaceful relations, as well as fully normalized, cooperative political, economic, and cultural relations based on equality and justice, nonviolence, mutual trust, positive attitudes, and sensitivity and consideration for the other party’s needs and interests” (Idem, p. 15). Auerbach stresses on the fact that reconciliation is not a one-time act, but “a long and deep process which aims at radical changes in the hearts and minds of the communities involved in an identity conflict” (Auerbach, 2009, p. 292).

Reconciliation comes as the result of the systematic implementation of purposeful restorative measures that seek answers and solutions to the questions “how will we continue to live after that; how will we share a space; can we restore the broken trust and ensure that the past does not happen again; what can we do in order not to stay in the past forever” (Stankov, 2019, p. 88).

Hamber and Kelly (2005) propose that the process of reconciliation has five directions:

1. Developing a shared vision of an interdependent and fair society.
2. Acknowledging and dealing with the past.
3. Building positive relationships.
4. Significant cultural and attitudinal change.
5. Substantial social, economic and political change.

It is important to know that measures aimed at reconciliation must involve both parties - the perpetrators and the victims. In this way, due attention is paid to the interests of the victims, their position and their right to tell the perpetrators what they have experienced and how they feel. At the same time, the perpetrators are given the opportunity to acknowledge the evil committed and to express their compassion to the victims. Both sides play their part in finding a solution on how to minimize, as far as possible, the consequences of what has been done in the present and how to avoid a recurrence of such a traumatic event in the future. Such transformational efforts in relation to attitudes, perceptions and interpretations of the past can lead to reconciliation between the parties involved.

Achieving reconciliation presupposes several key understandings:

- 1) Public political recognition of the suffering experienced by the affected communities is mandatory in order to achieve recovery from trauma at the individual and group level. This process has both a psychological and a political dimension (Paikin, 2017).
- 2) Recognition of the past must be publicly accepted. To be stable and lasting, reconciliation requires the support of society as a whole, or at least of most of it. Reconciliation is impossible without opportunities or a desire to engage in a meaningful relationship and interaction with the other party (Potgieter, 2017). If it remains only a formal political declaration, there is a risk of neglecting and suppressing the traumas of the past, of new unrest and of continuing conflict or repressions.
- 3) If a society does not recognize the legitimacy of the trauma of any social group, it hinders the adequate experience and expression of grief among its members (Brave Heart and DeBruyn, 1998). For example, as Aintablian et al. point out, while Turkey denies the Armenian genocide, Armenians will experience re-traumatization, negative emotions will be passed down through the generations and will provoke angry reactions towards the Turkish state and politicians (Aintablian et al., 2018). That is why reconciliation should be understood as a two-way process. It begins with the willingness of perpetrators to acknowledge what they have done and to take responsibility for their actions. Expression of regret and recognition of the right of victims and their descendants to mourn

the losses they have lived through makes it easier to overcome their traumatic experiences, and only then can they forgive. Therefore, repentance, apology and empathy on the one hand, as well as forgiveness on the other, must be part of the political process leading to reconciliation and the integration of the past into the lives of contemporaries (Auerbach, 2009).

Kwak and Nobles (2013) state that governments have a key role to play in achieving historic reconciliation, and their “institutional responsibility” is to undertake action. Most often this process is catalyzed by the creation of the so-called “Truth and Reconciliation Commissions.” Commissions are public bodies set up “to discover, clarify, and formally acknowledge past abuses; to address the needs of victims; to “counter impunity” and advance individual accountability; to outline institutional responsibility and recommend reforms; and to promote reconciliation and reduce conflict over the past” (Hayner, 2011, p. 20).

### **EXAMPLES OF POLICIES AIMED AT ACHIEVING RECONCILIATION**

Numerous examples of restorative and transitional justice policies leading to reconciliation and sustainable peace among different communities are known. Below are various societies and countries pursuing reconciliation measures, including one negative case - the former Federal Republic of Yugoslavia.

#### *Australia*

In 1991, a Council for Reconciliation with the Aborigines was established. The government was committed to fairly compensating indigenous peoples for land deprivation, violence and racism and took five steps towards reparation: (1) acknowledgment and apology, (2) guarantees that what had happened would not happen again, (3) measures for restitution, (4) rehabilitation measures and (5) monetary compensation (Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission, 1997). The steps undertaken in paying reparations to Aboriginal people in Australia have been successful, including by improving educational opportunities, strengthening Aboriginal culture and financial benefits (Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission, 2008).

#### *Canada*

The federal government of Canada aims to compensate for the injuries of several generations of children from the First Nations who grew up in special boarding schools and were thus cut off from their communities and cultural traditions - a practice described as “one of the darkest chapters in Canadian history” (Prime Minister of Canada, 2015). The Truth and Reconciliation Commission in Canada existed from 2007 to 2015 and promoted reconciliation between ex-students and their families and communities, on the one hand, and society as a whole, on the other (Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada, 2020). Today, the state supports the restoration and development of indigenous communities through a variety of programs and services.

#### *Republic of South Africa (RSA)*

In RSA, the creation of the so-called “Truth and Reconciliation Commissions” began in the 1990s. Their task was to overcome the consequences of apartheid by developing local resources to deal with conflict and rebuild the society with restorative justice approaches. Reconciliation measures included collecting and announcing evidence of racial discrimination, initiating an apology from those who have somehow benefited from apartheid, granting amnesty to perpetrators, promoting cooperation among local communities, financial compensation, etc.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> The effectiveness of the Commissions in RSA has been a controversial topic, which can be attributed to the socio-cultural context of RSA. See, Vora, J. A., & Vora, E. (2004). The Effectiveness of South Africa’s Truth and Reconciliation Commission. *Journal of Black Studies*, 34(3), 301–322.

*Peru*

In Peru, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission existed from 2001 to 2003, with a mandate to investigate killings, torture, disappearances, displacements, terrorist acts and other crimes during the decades-long armed conflict between the government and anti-government groups. Measures taken to achieve reconciliation included disclosing evidence of terror against innocent civilians on both sides, prosecuting perpetrators, financial reparations for the victims and their families, legal protection of indigenous peoples' rights, changes in the education system and providing education for rural residents, actions to overcome social stigma towards the victims (Amnesty International, 2004).

*Germany*

After the unification of Germany two commissions were created : Commission of Inquiry for the Assessment of History and Consequences of the SED Dictatorship in Germany (from 1992 to 1994) and Commission of Inquiry on Overcoming the Consequences of the SED Dictatorship in the Process of German Unity (from 1995 to 1998). Both commissions had mandate to investigate human rights abuses in the German Democratic Republic during the communist era and the influence of the regime on everyday life, and to suggest possibilities for political and moral restitution of the oppressed citizens (Kritz, 1995). The commissions recommended the implementation of both symbolic and restorative measures for overcoming traumas from political persecutions and human rights violations, for example, establishment of unifying national holidays, rehabilitation of the victims, opening of Stasi archives<sup>6</sup>, representation of the communist past in school curricula.

*Yugoslavia*

Truth and Reconciliation Commission in Yugoslavia<sup>7</sup> was announced in March 2001, and formally worked from February 2002 to early 2003. Its mandate was to examine the causes and the course of events of all conflicts in the territory of the former Yugoslavia.<sup>8</sup> In this sense, the Commission had the task to discover “the big picture” of the political, economic, social, cultural, and other contexts in which the 1990's Yugoslav wars happened. Contrary to the plan, during its existence the commission did not conduct any research, hold any hearings, or write any reports, and its existence did not get much support from the public or civil society groups (Hayner, 2011). The Yugoslav commission did not propose any measures aimed to achieve reconciliation between the various segments of Serbian and Yugoslav society, such as minority groups or representatives of the political opposition who were discriminated during the Milosevic regime, and those who were responsible for the violations against them. Due to its inaction, the Yugoslav Commission has become an example of an anti-Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

**BULGARIANS IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTHERN MACEDONIA - TRAUMA AND STIGMA**

The issue of achieving historical reconciliation between ethnic and national groups in the Republic of Northern Macedonia acquires particular importance in the context of the country's relations with

---

<sup>6</sup> As a German official said, “right of access to personal files was a categorical right for the victim, for the one affected by the Stasi, in order to come to an understanding, to a catharsis” (Bruce, 2009, p.20).

<sup>7</sup> The name “Yugoslavia” stands for Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, which at the time was constituted of the republics of Serbia and Montenegro.

<sup>8</sup> The Commission did not duplicate, but complemented the activities of the functioning International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, as “the political and moral responsibility of all those who were part of the system that allowed the crimes to happen is not, and cannot be, a part of the Tribunal's functions” (Pejic, 2001, p. 3).

Bulgaria and the implementation of the Treaty of Friendship between RNM and the Republic of Bulgaria.<sup>9</sup>

The establishment of the Macedonian national identity in Yugoslavia was accompanied by political violence by the authorities, so “everything linked to Bulgaria was forcibly and bloodily suppressed” (Drezov, 1999, p. 51; CIA, 1948). A specialized court was set up for “crimes against Macedonian national honour” in order to “give some legal form to the brutal persecution of everything Bulgarian” (Tsarnushanov, 1992, p. 249), including to justify post-factum the killings of a number of Macedonian Bulgarians. Attempts at self-organized bottom-up opposition to state Macedonism were suppressed with harsh sentences and moral harassment (Gotsev, 1998).

In the last seventy-five years, numerous examples of trials, institutional repression and domestic discrimination against Macedonian citizens for their publicly manifested Bulgarian ethnic identity have been documented.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, the anti-Bulgarian rhetoric and discrimination of citizens with Bulgarian identity or of Bulgarian origin in the RNM are not only a thing of the past - they are part of present of North Macedonian society, which did not go unnoticed by the European parliament.<sup>11</sup> The case of the singer Vasil Garvanliev, who in March 2021 became a victim of hate speech and threats because he is a citizen of the Republic of Bulgaria on the basis of proven Bulgarian origin, is indicative. It can be concluded that since 1944, the expression of Bulgarian identity in Macedonia is dangerous and results in a high psychological and social cost (Stankov, 2021).

Macedonian state-led nationalist propaganda created a huge number of works affirming the new national ideology and forming anti-Bulgarian attitudes. Films such as "Macedonian Part of Hell" or "Third Half", TV and radio shows, memoirs of former Yugoslav communist guerrillas, ideologized scientific and fiction literature in which Bulgarians are presented in an unfavorable light without exception, aided the internalization of the new historical narrative of the Macedonians as heroic victims and the Bulgarians as aggressors and enemies.

Another form of anti-Bulgarian policy in Yugoslav Macedonia was the erasure of the Bulgarian identity of the country's cultural and historical heritage and its replacement by the new Macedonian national identity. This was done by falsifying historical sources, manipulating facts, and attributing the new Macedonian identity to past generations. As Benson summarizes, “the past was systematically falsified to conceal the fact that many prominent ‘Macedonians’ had supposed themselves to be Bulgarian, and generations of students were taught the pseudo-history of the Macedonian nation” (Benson, 2001, p. 89). Deliberately replacing the Bulgarian character of the local population's history and tangible and intangible cultural heritage, the totalitarian government in Macedonia carried out an ethnocide (Stankov, 2021).

In such a public environment, among the descendants of the repressed Macedonian Bulgarians, “guilt” is passed on, i.e. the stigma that they are the children of people who in the new Macedonian national narrative are condemned as “traitors”, “collaborators of the Bulgarian fascist occupiers”, etc. A clear example of this is the poet Bogomil Gjuzel, son of the executed patriot Dimitar Gyuzelov, who carried the “complex of inherited guilt” all his life. According to Sheleva, the inherited guilt, along with “the curse of origin or origin as a curse in itself but also the quest for your roots, for your Home (with a capital h) - remain the essential characteristics of the poetic worldview of Gjuzel” (Sheleva, 2021). Gjuzel himself described his ancestry as a curse to the third generation and called his “father's guilt” his “fatherland.”

---

<sup>9</sup> The full name is “Treaty of Friendship, Good-Neighborliness and Cooperation between the Republic of Bulgaria and the Republic of Macedonia.”

<sup>10</sup> Some of these cases are presented in the “White Book on the Language Dispute between Bulgaria and the Republic of North Macedonia” (see Treneski, V. et al., 2021).

<sup>11</sup> Paragraph 76 of the Resolution of the EP reads: “Regrets the continuous lack of progress in implementing the previous European Parliament recommendations regarding discrimination against citizens openly expressing their Bulgarian identity and/or ethnic background;”

## **RECONCILIATION BY HEALING THE TRAUMAS OF THE PAST: FIVE POLICY SUGGESTIONS FOR THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA**

Based on the researched international experience and good practices for reconciliation, and the specifics of the case of the Macedonian Bulgarians, a set of policies is proposed here in order to achieve historical reconciliation between the Macedonian citizens with Bulgarian identity and the RNM state and the rest of society:

1. *Rehabilitation of the convicted Macedonian Bulgarians, who in the years of communism were persecuted because of their identity and beliefs.* Rehabilitation, although very belated, will alleviate the trans-generational trauma of their heirs, who have been carrying and failing to work out the “inherited guilt” for decades. In the case of Dimitar Gyuzelev and Bogomil Gjuzel, “the descendants of those who brought and executed the verdict on the father, not only did they impose that guilt on him,<sup>12</sup> but they did not bother to take it off his shoulders” (Velichkov, 2021). To this end, in addition to formal legal action, it is necessary that:

1.1. The RNM state leadership should apologize and express regret to the citizens with Bulgarian identity who were repressed in former Yugoslavia and in the three decades thereafter, as well as to their living heirs (in case the victims have died).

1.2. The state leadership of the RNM should recognize the contributions and merits of the victims as Macedonian patriots, who during the Tito dictatorship fought both for the independence of Macedonia and for the preservation of their Bulgarian nationality.

1.3. The state leadership of the RNM should condemn the actions of the communist dictatorship in the Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and the forcible imposition of a political system and ideology through persecution, repression and discrimination of political opponents, in particular Bulgarians.

2. *Establishment of a Truth and Reconciliation Commission in the Republic of North Macedonia,* which will be entrusted with the main activity of detecting and declaring abuses and violations of human and community rights by the Yugoslav authorities against the representatives of the Bulgarian ethnic community in Macedonia. The Commission should have a mandate for the following steps:

2.1. To work for the opening of the files of the Macedonian Bulgarians and their relatives, as well as the announcement of the names of the agents, informers and recruiters who worked on the surveillance of the Macedonian Bulgarians. The EP resolution also emphasizes the importance of opening the archives of the Yugoslav secret services.<sup>13</sup> The heirs of those repressed and tracked down by the Yugoslav secret services have a right to truth,<sup>14</sup> including to have access to the files of their relatives; the whole society in the RNM has such a right to get acquainted with the methods of persecution of the Macedonian Bulgarians by the totalitarian communist and anti-Bulgarian system of Yugoslavia.

2.2. To organize and conduct in-depth interviews with citizens with Bulgarian identity on the territory of RNM, in order to study the repressions against them during the communist and post-communist periods. To interview the heirs of the repressed Macedonian Bulgarians about their experiences with the stigma of children of “traitors”. To announce and disseminate the data from the conducted interviews to the general public in RNM.

---

<sup>12</sup> Reference about the son, Bogomil Gjuzel.

<sup>13</sup> EP Resolution, paragraph 32 states: “Encourages the authorities to retrieve and open up relevant Yugoslav secret service archives; takes the view that transparent handling of the totalitarian past, including the opening up of the secret services archives, is a step towards further democratisation, accountability and institutional strength in both the country itself and the Western Balkan region as a whole.”

<sup>14</sup> “Right to truth” is an emerging concept in modern theory and practice of human rights and democracy, focused on victims’ and their descendants’ rights to know about pre-transition human rights abuses, which in the light of the discussed topic is especially important for a society in transition like the Republic of North Macedonia. For more information about the term, see Sweeney (2018).

2.3. To organize restorative, transitional, therapeutic and conciliatory activities between the communities of Macedonian Bulgarians and the other representatives of the modern Macedonian nationality in order to overcome stereotypes and prejudices of the past, built by the dominant communist anti-Bulgarian ideology and passed on to Macedonian society through the generations via the media and the educational institutions.

2.4. To make recommendations for changes in curricula and their contents that emphasize the importance of human rights and help remove the stigma towards Macedonian Bulgarians and their struggle against the Yugoslav regime and for preservation of Bulgarian identity on the territory of the RNM.

3. *Restoration of the identity of the Bulgarian cultural and historical heritage in the Republic of Northern Macedonia.* While in Ireland, the United States, Canada and Australia the land was taken away from the indigenous population, in the case of Macedonian Bulgarians, their history as a legitimate source of identity and self-determination was taken away from them. They have been turned into a tree without roots, deprived of the right to call their heroes Bulgarians and their dialects and songs Bulgarian. It is necessary for the state leadership of RNM to apologize to its citizens with Bulgarian identity for the trauma inflicted on them by the fact that during the creation of the historiography and the national narrative of the Republic of Macedonia within the SFRY an ethnocide was committed against the Bulgarian heritage in the country. The recovery measures of the RNM leaders should include the following:

3.1. To declare that St. Kliment of Ohrid and St. Naum of Ohrid were Bulgarian church and educational figures who carried out the state policy of the Bulgarian rulers Boris and Simeon, that the state of the Comitopoules and their successors (from Tsar Samuil to Tsar Peter III Bodin) had a Bulgarian political continuity and tradition, and the Bulgarians were the state-building people of the territories under the dynasty, and that the Revival activists in Macedonia and the revolutionaries from IMRO (Internal Macedonian Revolutionary Organization) self-identified as ethnic Bulgarians.

3.2. To state that the dialects in the geographical area of Macedonia and the dialects in today's Republic of Bulgaria are all part of a dialect continuum and that in the past the local population, no matter where it was positioned on the territories of this continuum, identified their dialects as Bulgarian.

3.3. The education system in RNM should introduce relevant changes in history and literature curricula in connection with the above two points.

4. *Financial reparations for the Bulgarian ethnic community.*

4.1. Allocation of funds from the state budget for the construction of monuments symbolizing the Bulgarian historical heritage in RNM. Such may be, for example, monuments to Knyaz Boris I Michael and Tsar Simeon in Ohrid, Tsar Ivan Vladislav in Bitola, Todor Alexandrov in Shtip and Col. Boris Drangov in Skopje.

4.2. Payment of compensation to victims of discrimination and political persecution because of their Bulgarian identity (if not directly "Bulgarians", they are often called "Mihailovists"<sup>15</sup>), as well as to their heirs, in case the victims are no longer alive.

5. *Creation of new cultural initiatives for rapprochement of the different groups in North Macedonian society.* For instance, every year in Skopje the governments of RNM and RB can organize a large literary forum for authors, publishers and readers from both countries, named "Yavorov's evenings", after the great Bulgarian poet and Macedonian revolutionary Peyo Yavorov.

Taking these actions is important for the following reasons:

1. Recognition of the repressive state policy towards Macedonian Bulgarians will validate their suffering, and this would contribute to healing their past traumas, rather than to suppressing them.

---

<sup>15</sup> After the name of the leader of IMRO Ivan (Vanche) Mihailov.

2. A space for open and fair public debate will be created in North Macedonian society on topics that were previously covered up or distorted for political reasons.
3. The RNM government will give an unequivocal signal of its readiness to overcome the totalitarian legacy and national mythology formed on an anti-Bulgarian basis.
4. Macedonian Bulgarians will regain the legitimate right to identify with their Bulgarian medieval and Revival heritage in RNM.

The constitution of a body such as the “Truth and Reconciliation Commission” should be included in a future plan for the implementation of the agreement between the Republic of Bulgaria and the Republic of Northern Macedonia. It should include representatives of Macedonian Bulgarians, but also of the Bulgarian state, so that the Republic of Bulgaria can have direct observations of the work of this commission and be a guarantor for the restoration of historical justice towards the citizens of RNM with Bulgarian ethnic consciousness. The establishment of such a Commission is in line with the EP’s proposal to draw up an “action plan of concrete measures, the implementation of which will be regularly assessed in accordance with the Friendship Treaty.”<sup>16</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Overcoming the consequences of the physical, social and moral damage and trauma suffered by Macedonian Bulgarians in Yugoslav and post-Yugoslav Macedonia, as well as the continuing practices of discrimination, hate speech and denial of the historical rights of Bulgarians in the country, requires a comprehensive and multi-level process in order to engage different sectors of society.

Achieving historical reconciliation between the Republic of North Macedonia and its society, on the one hand, and citizens with Bulgarian identity, on the other, will be a key indicator of the democratization of North Macedonian society and its readiness to join the European family. The state and Macedonian Bulgarians could develop a model of coexistence that recognizes and respects the Bulgarian cultural and historical heritage of the country and guarantees the right of Bulgarians to identify with it. Long-term reconciliation is the foundation on which a new history of relations in the Republic of North Macedonia could be created, so that the nation-building of the modern Macedonian nation does not contradict human rights, including historical rights and traditions, of the autochthonous Bulgarian population.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aintablian et al. (2018). Direct Ancestry to a Genocide Survivor Has Transgenerational Effects on Mental Health: a Case of the Armenian Population. *Journal of Historical Archaeology & Anthropological Sciences*, 7(4), pp. 233-239.

Amnesty International (2004). The Truth and Reconciliation Commission – a first step towards a country without injustice. [online]. [retrieved May 3, 2021] <https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/92000/amr460032004en.pdf>.

Auerbach, Y. (2009). The Reconciliation Pyramid: A Narrative-Based Framework for Analyzing Identity Conflicts. *Political Psychology*, 30(2), pp. 291–318.

Bar-Siman-Tov, Y. (2004). Introduction: Why Reconciliation? In: Bar-Siman-Tov, Y. (ed.). *From Conflict Resolution to Reconciliation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 3-9.

---

<sup>16</sup> EP Resolution, paragraph 81.



- Benson, L. (2001). *Yugoslavia: A Concise History*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Brave Heart, M., L. M. DeBruyn (1998). The American Indian Holocaust: Healing Historical Unresolved Grief. *American Indian and Alaska Native Mental Health Research*, 8(2), pp. 60-82.
- Bruce, G.(2009). East Germany. In: Stan, L. (ed.). *Transitional Justice in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union: Reckoning with the communist past*. London: Routledge, pp.15-36.
- CIA (1948, November 9). *Yugoslav-Bulgarian Tensions in the Yugoslav-Macedonia Region*. [online]. [retrieved May 5, 2021]. <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP82-00457R002000490006-4.pdf>.
- Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada (2020, 15 December). *Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada*. [online publication]. [retrieved May 6, 2021]. <https://www.rcaanc-cirnac.gc.ca/eng/1450124405592/1529106060525>.
- Drezov, K. (1999). Macedonian identity: an overview of the major claims. In: Pettifer, J. (ed.). *The New Macedonian Question*. London, Macmillan Press, pp. 47-59.
- European Parliament (2021, 25 March). *Resolution of 25 March 2021 on the 2019-2020 Commission Reports on North Macedonia*. [online publication]. [retrieved May 1, 2021]. Available online at: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2021-0114\\_EN.html](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2021-0114_EN.html).
- Gjuzel, B. (2015). *Животопис, Избрани дела во седум тома [Life-writing, Select works in 7 volumes]*, vol. 7. Skopje: Magor, 446 pp.
- Gotsev, D. (1998). *Новата национално-освободителна война във Вардарска Македонија 1944-1991г [The New National Liberation War in Vardar Macedonia 1944-1991]*. Sofia: MNI.
- Hamber, B., G. Kelly (2005). *A place for reconciliation? Conflict and locality in Northern Ireland*. Belfast: Democratic Dialogue.
- Hayner, P. (2011). *Unspeakable Truths: Transitional Justice and the Challenge of Truth Commissions*. New York City: Routledge.
- Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission (1997). *Bringing Them Home: Report of the National Inquiry into the Separation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Children from Their Families*. Sydney, NSW. [online]. [retrieved May 5, 2021]. [https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/pdf/social\\_justice/bringing\\_them\\_home\\_report.pdf](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/pdf/social_justice/bringing_them_home_report.pdf).
- Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission (2008). *Social Justice Report*. Sydney, NSW. [online]. [retrieved May 5, 2021]. [https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/social\\_justice/sj\\_report/sjreport08/downloads/SJR\\_2008\\_full.pdf](https://www.humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/social_justice/sj_report/sjreport08/downloads/SJR_2008_full.pdf).
- Kritz, N. J. (1995). Germany: Law Creating the Commission of Inquiry on “Working through the History and the Consequences of the SED Dictatorship”. In: Kritz, N. J. (ed.) *Transitional Justice: How Emerging Democracies Reckon with Former Regimes*, Vol. 3, Laws, Rulings, and Reports, pp. 216-219. Washington D.C.: United State Institute of Pease Press.
- Kwak, H.-H., M. Nobles (2013). “Inherited” responsibility and historical reconciliation in East Asian context. In: Kwak, H.-H., M. Nobles (eds.), *Inherited Responsibility and Historical Reconciliation in East Asia*. London: Routledge, Milton Park, Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge, pp. 3-19.
- Paikin, S. [The Agenda with Steve Paikin] (2017, November 21). *Overcoming Historical Trauma*. [online]. [retrieved May 4, 2021]. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=57NbSzp6Zfc>.

- Pejić, J. (2001). The Yugoslav Truth and Reconciliation Commission: A Shaky Start. *Fordham International Law Journal*, 25(1), Article 1, pp. 1-22. [online]. [retrieved May 4, 2021]. <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1809&context=ilj>.
- Potgieter, E. (2017). SA Reconciliation Barometer Survey: 2017 Report. Cape Town: Institute for Justice and Reconciliation. [online]. [retrieved May 3, 2021] <https://www.ijr.org.za/portfolio-items/south-african-reconciliation-barometer-survey-2017-report>.
- Prime Minister of Canada (2015, 15 December). Statement by Prime Minister on release of the Final Report of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission. [online]. [retrieved May 6, 2021]. <https://pm.gc.ca/en/news/statements/2015/12/15/statement-prime-minister-release-final-report-truth-and-reconciliation>.
- Sheleva, E. (2021, April 23). Поезијата на Богомил Ѓузел [Bogomil Gjuzel's Poetry]. [online publication]. [retrieved 4 May 2021]. <https://okno.mk/node/88974>.
- Stankov, G. (2019). Историческата травма: когато гръм удари, как ехото (не) заглъхва. Политика и сигурност [Historical trauma: when lightning strikes how the echoes (do not) die out. In: *Politics and Security*], 3 (3), pp. 63-103.
- Stankov, G. (2021). Националната идентичност в Македонија - теорија за дифузија и теорија за реверсија [National Identity in Macedonia - Diffusion Theory and Reversal Theory]. Conference volume for the international conference "The challenges for security and economy faced by the countries of the Black, Caspian, and Mediterranean region", vol. 2 (PhD, MA and BA students). ISBN 978-619-7343-40. Plovdiv: Publishing House of the College of Security and Economics, pp. 6-20.
- Sweeney, J. A. (2018). The Elusive Right to Truth in Transitional Human Rights Jurisprudence. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 67(2), pp. 353 – 387.
- Treneski, V. et al. (2021). Бяла книга за езиковия спор между Бугария и Република Северна Македонија [White Book on the Language Dispute between Bulgaria and the Republic of Northern Macedonia]. Sofia: Orbel. [electronic edition]. [retrieved 3 May 2021].
- Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada. [online publication]. [retrieved May 6, 2021]. <https://www.rcaanc-cirnac.gc.ca/eng/1450124405592/1529106060525>.
- Tsarnushanov, K. (1992). Македонизмът и съпротивата на Македонија срещу него [Macedonism and the Resistance of Macedonia to it]. Sofia: Sofia University Press.
- Velichkov, A. (24.04.2021). Богомил Ѓузел - недочекана рехабилитација [Bogomil Gjuzel - the rehabilitation that never came]. [online publication]. [retrieved 6 May 2021]. <https://tribuna.mk/bogomil-gjuzel-nedochekana-rehabilitacija>.
- Vora, J. A., & Vora, E. (2004). The Effectiveness of South Africa's Truth and Reconciliation Commission. *Journal of Black Studies*, 34(3), 301–322.